EXHIBIT F

	All and the second seco
	Page 1
IN THE UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SO	UTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
H	OUSTON DIVISION
CHERNATA III HETCHIII	
SHERWIN T. WRIGHT	?
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civil Action NO. 4:15-cv-02363
CHEVRON PHILLIPS CHEM	ICAL)
COMPANY, LP)
Defendant	j.
2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
	Burn basannanan
	ORAL DEPOSITION

LISA LAURIN
MAY 24, 2017

ORAL DEPOSITION OF LISA LAURIN, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 24th day of May, 2017, from 2:20 p.m. to 4:20 p.m., before Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the offices of Jackson Walker LLP, 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 1900, Houston, Harris County, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

- 1		
		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR PLAINTIFF:	
3	Mr. Marrick Armstrong	
4	Ms. Ghazal Vahora SMITH REED & ARMSTRONG PLLC	
5	2016 Main Street, Suite 111 Houston, Texas 77002	
6	Telephone: 281.489.3934 E-mail: marrick@srapllc.com	
8	FOR DEFENDANT:	
9	Ms. Marlene C. Williams	
LO	JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 McKinney, Suite 1900 Houston, Texas 77010	
1.1	Telephone: 713.752.4571	
.2	E-mail: mcwilliams@jw.com	
13	-and-	
4	Ms. Karen R. Monroe Senior Counsel, Chevron Phillips Chemical Company	
L5	10001 Six Pines Drive P.O. Box 4910	
1.6	The Woodlands, Texas 77387-4910	
7	Telephone: 832.813.4252 E-mail: monrokr@cpchem.com	3
.8	E-mail: monioki@cpcnem.com	
.9		
0		
1		
22		
23		
4		
5		
П		

ı				Page
		INDEX		
			PAGE	
	Signature Pa	by Mr. Armstrong	73	
		EXHIBITS		
	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
	Exhibit 1	10-30-14 Letter to Tyrell Wright from Andy Woods	25	
	Exhibit 2	10-27-14 Email from Tyrell Wright to Pete Cella	44	
	Exhibit 3	10-28-14 Email from Pete Cella to Tyrell Wright	45	
	Exhibit 4	11-18-14 Last Chance Letter Signed by Tyrell Wright and Andy Woods	49	
	Exhibit 5	Working Rules & Discipline Policy	55	
	Exhibit 6	PowerPoint Entitled Total Absence Management	61	

		Page 4
i	LISA LAURIN,	
2	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
3	EXAMINATION	
4	QUESTIONS BY MR. ARMSTRONG:	
5	Q. Good afternoon, ma'am. Can you state your name	
6	for the record, please.	
7	A. Lisa Laurin, L-a-u-r-i-n.	
8	Q. Okay. And, Ms. Laurin, I know that you have	
9	testified in an arbitration related to the events that	
10	we are here about today. Is that correct?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And you've sat in on now two depositions. So	
13	you know how it's going to go today. There's no use in	
14	reiterating deposition conduct other than to say	
15	whenever you're ready to take a break, let me know. If	
16	you don't understand a question I ask you, let me know;	
17	and I'll rephrase it for you. Okay?	
18	A. Okay.	
19	Q. You're currently employed at Chevron Phillips	
20	Chemical Company. Did I say that right?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And your title is what?	
23	A. HR manager.	
24	Q. You've been there for how long?	
25	A. Four years.	

Page 9 T leads, did he say that that left the piece of 1 2 equipment energized? 3 A. No. Did y'all have any conversation about whether 4 5 or not the specific failure that Mr. Wright was perceived to have made caused any actual harm to 6 anybody? 8 A. No. 9 And so is it fair to categorize it as he came 10 and explained what was not done, but he didn't 11 necessarily explain what the fallout or result of it not 12 being done was? That's fair. 13 A. And then after that initial conversation did 14 15 you conduct an investigation as to whether or not 16 Mr. Wright actually failed to not disconnect the T leads? 17 18 A. No. 19 Q. You didn't do that investigation? 20 A. No, I didn't. 21 Q. Did anybody else do that investigation? 22 A. Yes. 23 Who did the investigation? 0. 24 Virginia Hubbard, Adam Sainato and Keith A. 25 Bravenec.

Page 14 separate functions of investigation? 1 2 A. A team. 3 Can you explain for us everything that they did 4 to investigate the incident. 5 I don't know every single thing that they did. 6 I know high level, that they talked to Tyrell. They 7 talked to Billy Donnell. After that point they came back to me. 8 9 Okay. Did they prepare any written reports to 10 you? 11 A. Yes. 12 Okay. And the contents of that written report said what based on your recollection? 13 14 That Tyrell was supposed to remove the T leads 15 as part of this isolation, and he signed off on the 16 paperwork that he had done the work when he had not. 17 0. What form was that written report in? Was it 18 an e-mail, or was it an actual formal report? 19 Honestly, I don't remember. A. 20 When a written report like that is generated in 0. 21 these circumstances, is that something that is 22 maintained in the employee's personnel file? 23 A. No. 24 Q. Why not? 25 A. It's a separate file.

Page 48 at any time after he told you about that conversation? 1 2 A. No. Other than yourself, who else did Mr. Pyner 3 4 talk to after having this conversation with Mr. Cella 5 about Mr. Wright's suspension? 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Objection, calls for 7 speculation. 8 A. I don't know. (By Mr. Armstrong) Do you know or have you 9 ever heard whether he talked with Keith Bravenec about 10 11 it? 12 I don't know. 13 Do you know whether Keith Bravenec knows about this conversation between Pete Cella and Joe Pyner? 14 15 A. Can you ask me the question again. 16 Do you know if Mr. Bravenec even knows about 17 this conversation that happened between Mr. Pyner and 18 Mr. Cella? 19 A. I don't know. Personally did you have any feelings about 20 21 Mr. Wright going all the way to the top and talking to 22 Mr. Cella? 23 A. No. 24 Q. No feelings whatsoever about that? 25 No. No feelings. A.

Page 49 1 Q. Was that something that you thought overstepped 2 your authority? 3 Not at all. And so is it something that occurs frequently, 4 5 to have employees take their suspensions up to the CEO? 6 A. No. 7 0. Prior to Mr. Wright doing this, had anybody 8 else during your tenure taken a concern about an 9 employment issue directly up to Mr. Cella? 10 A. No. 11 Do you know whether anybody after Mr. Wright 12 has taken an employment concern directly to Mr. Cella? 13 No, they have not. A. 14 Q. Let me show you what we'll mark as Exhibit 4. 15 (Exhibit 4 marked) 16 0. (By Mr. Armstrong) Have you ever seen this letter before? 17 18 A. Yes. 19 And this is a letter, again, from Mr. Woods, 20 maintenance manager. And it's to Mr. Wright, and it's 21 dated November 18, 2014. It's a last chance letter. 22 And it starts out, it says, "On October 30, 2014 you were returned to work and given a 23 24 final warning after two weeks suspension for a serious 25 safety violation."

		Page 75
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION	
3	SHERWIN T. WRIGHT) Plaintiff,)	
4	vs.) Civil Action NO. 4:15-cv-02363	
5		
6	CHEVRON PHILLIPS CHEMICAL) COMPANY, LP)	
7	Defendant)	
8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
9	ORAL DEPOSITION OF LISA LAURIN	
0	May 24, 2017	
1		
.2	I, Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in	
.3	and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the	
.4	following:	
.5	That the witness, LISA LAURIN, was duly sworn by the	
.6	officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition	
.7	is a true record of the testimony given by the witness;	
.8	That the original deposition was delivered to	
.9	Marrick Armstrong.	
0	That a copy of this certificate was served on all	
1	parties and/or the witness shown herein	
22	on	
23	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule	
24	30(f)(1), that the signature of the deponent:	
5	was requested by the deponent or a party before	

1	Page 76
	the completion of the deposition and that the signature is
2	to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days
3	from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned,
4	the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any
5	changes and the reasons therefor:
6	was not requested by the deponent or a
7	party before the completion of the deposition.
8	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
10	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
11	taken, and further that I am not financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	Certified to by me on this, the day
14	of, 2018.
15	No. 1
16	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
17	
18	While Isane
19	Melinda Barre
20	Texas CSR 2192 Expiration: 12/31/18
21	Expiracion: 12/31/16
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page
COUNTY OF HARRIS)	
STATE OF TEXAS)	
I hereby certify that the witness was notified on	
that the witness has 30 days or (
days per agreement of counsel) after being notified by	
the officer that the transcript is available for review	
by the witness and if there are changes in the form or	
substance to be made, then the witness shall sign a	
statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by	
the witness for making them;	
That the witness' signature was/was not returned as	
of	
Subscribed and sworn to on this, the day of	
, 2018.	
W	
Millinda Barke	
Melinda Barre	
Texas CSR 2192	
Expiration: 12/31/18	